arXiv:1503.08375v2 [math.AG] 31 Mar 2015

Degree Three Unramified Cohomology Groups

Akinari Hoshi$^{(1)}$, Ming-chang Kang$^{(2)}$ and Aiichi Yamasaki$^{(3)}$

$^{(1)}$Department of Mathematics, Niigata University, Niigata, Japan, E-mail: hoshi@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

$^{(2)}$Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, E-mail: kang@math.ntu.edu.tw

$^{(3)}$Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, E-mail: aiichi.yamasaki@gmail.com

Abstract. Let k be any field, G be a finite group. Let G act on the rational function field $k(x_g : g \in G)$ by k-automorphisms defined by $h \cdot x_g = x_{hg}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $k(G) = k(x_g : g \in G)^G$, the fixed subfield. Noether's problem asks whether $k(G)$ is rational (= purely transcendental) over k. The unramified Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G))$ and the unramified cohomology $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ are obstructions to the rationality of $\mathbb{C}(G)$ (see [Sa2] and [CTO]). Peyre proves that, if p is an odd prime number, then there is a group G such that $|G| = p^{12}$, $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$, but $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq \{0\}$; thus $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not stably $\mathbb{C}$-rational [Pe2]. Using Peyre's method, we are able to find groups G with $|G| = p^9$ where p is an odd prime number such that $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$, $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq \{0\}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E08, 12G05.

Keywords and phrases. Rationality problem, Noether's problem, unramified cohomology group, unramified Brauer groups, p-groups.

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 24540019, 25400027.

Parts of the work were finished when the first-named author and the third-named author were visiting the National Center for Theoretic Sciences (Taipei Office), whose support is gratefully acknowledged.

§1. Introduction

Let k be a field, and L be a finitely generated field extension of k. L is called k-rational (or rational over k) if L is purely transcendental over k, i.e. L is isomorphic to some rational function field over k. L is called stably k-rational if $L(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ is k-rational for some $y_1, \ldots, y_m$ which are algebraically independent over L. L is called k-unirational if L is k-isomorphic to a subfield of some k-rational field extension of k. It is easy to see that "k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "stably k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "k-unirational".

A classical question, the Lüroth problem by some people, asks whether a k-unirational field L is necessarily k-rational. For a survey of the question, see [MT] and [CTS].

Noether's problem is a special case of the above Lüroth problem. Let k be a field and G be a finite group. Let G act on the rational function field $k(x_g : g \in G)$ by k-automorphisms defined by $h \cdot x_g = x_{hg}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $k(G)$ the fixed subfield, i.e. $k(G) = k(x_g : g \in G)^G$. Noether's problem asks, under what situation, the field $k(G)$ is k-rational.

Noether's problem is related to the inverse Galois problem, to the existence of generic G-Galois extensions over k, and to the existence of versal G-torsors over k-rational field extensions [Sw], [Sa1], [GMS, Section 33.1, page 86].

The first counter-example to Noether's problem was constructed by Swan: $\mathbb{Q}(C_p)$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-rational if $p = 47$, 113 or 233 etc. where C_p is the cyclic group of order p. Noether's problem for finite abelian groups was studied extensively by Swan, Voskresenskii, Endo and Miyata, Lenstra, etc. For details, see Swan's survey paper [Sw].

In [Sa2], Saltman defines $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr},k}(k(G))$, the unramified Brauer group of $k(G)$ over k. It is known that, if $k(G)$ is stably k-rational, then the natural map $\mathrm{Br}(k) \to \mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr},k}(k(G))$ is an isomorphism; in particular, if k is algebraically closed, then $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr},k}(k(G)) = \{0\}$.

In this article, we concentrate on field extensions L over $\mathbb{C}$. Thus we will write $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G))$ for $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr},\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G))$, because there is no ambiguity of the ground field $\mathbb{C}$. As mentioned before, if $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq \{0\}$, then $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not stably rational over $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Saltman [Sa2]) *Let p be any prime number. Then there is a group G of order p^9 such that $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq \{0\}$. Consequently $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not stably $\mathbb{C}$-rational.*

A convenient formula for computing $\mathrm{Br}_{nr}(\mathbb{C}(G))$ was found by Bogomolov ([Bo, Theorem 3.1]). Using this formula, Bogomolov was able to reduce the group order from p^9 to p^6.

Theorem 1.2 (Bogomolov [Bo, Lemma 5.6]) *Let p be any prime number. Then there is a group G of order p^6 such that $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq \{0\}$.*

Colliot-Thélène and Ojanguren generalized the notion of the unramified Brauer group to the unramified cohomology group $H^d_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ where $d \geq 2$ [CTO]; also see Saltman's treatment [Sa3]. Again, if $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is stably $\mathbb{C}$-rational, then $H^d_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ [CTO, Proposition 1.2]. Moreover, $H^2_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G))$.

Suppose that $G \to GL(W)$ is a faithful complex representation. Then $\mathbb{C}(G)$ and $\mathbb{C}(W)^G$ are stably isomorphic by the No-Name Lemma (see [CK, Theorem 4.1] by considering $\mathbb{C}(W)(x_g : g \in G)^G$). Thus $H^d_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^d_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(W)^G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ for any $d \geq 2$ by [CTO, Proposition 1.2]. For this reason, we will consider only $H^d_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ for any $d \geq 2$.

Since $\mathrm{Br}_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G))$ is just an obstruction to the rationality of $\mathbb{C}(G)$, it may happen that, for some group G, $\mathrm{Br}_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$, but $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not rational over $\mathbb{C}$. This phenomenon is exemplified by the following theorem of Peyre.

Theorem 1.3 (Peyre [Pe2, Theorem 3]) *Let p be any odd prime number. Then there is a group G of order p^{12} such that $\mathrm{Br}_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$ and $H^3_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq \{0\}$. Consequently, $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not stably $\mathbb{C}$-rational.*

The idea of Peyre's proof is to find a subgroup $K^3_{\max}/K^3$ of $H^3_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and to show that $K^3_{\max}/K^3 \neq \{0\}$ (see [Pe2, page 210]). Using Peyre's method, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 *Let p be an odd prime number. Then there is a group G of order p^9 such that $\mathrm{Br}_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$ and $H^3_{\rm nr}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq \{0\}$. Thus $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not stably $\mathbb{C}$-rational.*

Theorem 1.4 will be proved in Section 2 (see Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7). We will explain the idea how these "counter-examples" are constructed in Section 3. The computation of $K^3_{\max}/K^3$ for extraspecial groups will also be carried out in Section 3.

§2. Main results

Throughout this article, p is an odd prime number, $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the finite field with p elements.

Recall the construction of the p-group G in [Pe2, Section 5]. G is a p-group of exponent p satisfying that (i) the center of G is equal to its commutator subgroup, and (ii) G is a central extension of vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Thus there are finite-dimensional vector spaces V and U over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and a short exact sequence

$$0 \to V \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} U \to 0$$

such that $\iota(V) = Z(G) = [G, G]$ (where $Z(G)$ and $[G, G]$ denote the center of G and the commutator subgroup of G respectively).

We will adopt the multiplicative notations for elements of G, and the additive notation for elements in the vector spaces V and U, V^* and U^* will denote the dual spaces of V and U.

Define $\gamma : \wedge^2 U \to V$ by

$$\iota \circ \gamma(\pi(g_1) \wedge \pi(g_2)) = [g_1, g_2] \tag{1}$$

for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$ where $[g_1, g_2] := g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}$.

Since $\iota(V) = [G, G]$, γ is surjective. It follows that the dual map $\gamma^* : V^* \to \wedge^2 U^*$ is injective (note that we write $\wedge^2 U^*$ for $\wedge^2(U^*)$).

Definition 2.1 For any positive integer d, define $\Phi : \wedge^d U^* \to (\wedge^d U)^*$ as follows. For $f_1, \ldots, f_d \in U^*$ and $f = f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_d$, define $\Phi(f) = \varphi_f : \wedge^d U \to \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\varphi_f(u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_d) = \sum_{\tau \in S_d} \varepsilon(\tau) f_1(u_{\tau(1)}) \cdot f_2(u_{\tau(2)}) \cdot \cdots \cdot f_d(u_{\tau(d)})$ for any $u_1, \ldots, u_d \in U$ (see [Pe2, page 209]). Thus we define the non-degenerate pairing $\wedge^d U \times \wedge^d U^* \to \mathbb{F}_p$ by $\langle\langle s, f \rangle\rangle := \Phi(f)(s)$ for any $s \in \wedge^d U$, any $f \in \wedge^d U^*$.

Definition 2.2 ([Pe2, page 209]) Let γ be the map of Formula (1). Define

$$\begin{gathered} K^2 = \gamma^*(V^*), \quad K^3 = \gamma^*(V^*) \wedge U^*, \\ S^2 = (K^2)^\perp = \{w \in \wedge^2 U : \langle\langle w, f \rangle\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } f \in K^2\}, \\ S^3 = (K^3)^\perp = \{w \in \wedge^3 U : \langle\langle w, f \rangle\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } f \in K^3\}. \end{gathered}$$

We define

$$\begin{gathered} S^2_{\text{dec}} = \langle u_1 \wedge u_2 \in S^2 : u_1, u_2 \in U \rangle, \\ S^3_{\text{dec}} = \langle u' \wedge u \in S^3 : u' \in \wedge^2 U,\ u \in U \rangle, \\ K^2_{\max} = (S^2_{\text{dec}})^\perp, \quad K^3_{\max} = (S^3_{\text{dec}})^\perp \end{gathered}$$

where $(S^2_{\text{dec}})^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of S^2_{dec} in the pairing $\wedge^2 U \times \wedge^2 U^* \to \mathbb{F}_p$, similarly for $(S^3_{\text{dec}})^\perp$.

Theorem 2.3 (Peyre [Pe2, Theorem 2, page 210]) *Let G be a p-group defined as above. Then $K^2_{\max}/K^2 \simeq \mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G))$ and $K^3_{\max}/K^3$ is a subgroup of $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.*

The main results of this paper are the following theorem 2.4, 2.6 and 2.7.

Theorem 2.4 *Let p be an odd prime number, G be the p-group of exponent p defined by $G = \langle v_i, u_j : 1 \le i \le 3, 1 \le j \le 6 \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions*

(1) $Z(G) = [G, G] = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$, and

(2) $[u_1, u_2] = [u_3, u_4] = v_1$, $[u_1, u_4] = [u_2, u_5] = [u_3, u_6] = v_2$, $[u_3, u_5] = [u_4, u_6] = v_3$, and the other unlisted commutators, e.g. $[u_1, u_3]$, $[u_1, u_5]$, etc., are equal to the identity element of G.

Then $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$ and $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq \{0\}$.

Proof. Because of Theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that $K^2_{\max}/K^2 = \{0\}$ and $K^3_{\max}/K^3 \neq \{0\}$ (remember that we write $V = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$, $U = \langle u_i : 1 \le i \le 6 \rangle$ and $0 \to V \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} U \to 0$).

Since K^2_{max} and K^2 are dual to S^2_{dec} and S^2, it is enough to sow that $S^2_{\text{dec}} = S^2$. Similarly, it is enough to show that $S^3_{\text{dec}} \subsetneq S^3$.

Step 1. Let $\{u_j^* : 1 \le j \le 6\}$ be the dual basis of $\{u_j : 1 \le j \le 6\}$, and $\{v_i^* : 1 \le i \le 3\}$ be the dual basis of $\{v_i : 1 \le i \le 3\}$.

By the definition of the group G, the map $\gamma : \wedge^2 U \to V$ is defined by $\gamma(u_1 \wedge u_2) = \gamma(u_3 \wedge u_4) = v_1$, $\gamma(u_1 \wedge u_4) = \gamma(u_2 \wedge u_5) = \gamma(u_3 \wedge u_6) = v_2$, $\gamma(u_3 \wedge u_5) = \gamma(u_4 \wedge u_6) = v_3$, and $\gamma(u_i \wedge u_j) = 0$ for the remaining $u_i \wedge u_j$ $(1 \le i < j \le 6)$.

It is easy to verify that $\gamma^* : V^* \to \wedge^2 U^*$ is given by $\gamma^*(v_1^*) = u_1^* \wedge u_2^* + u_3^* \wedge u_4^*$, $\gamma^*(v_2^*) = u_1^* \wedge u_4^* + u_2^* \wedge u_5^* + u_3^* \wedge u_6^*$, $\gamma^*(v_3^*) = u_3^* \wedge u_5^* + u_4^* \wedge u_6^*$.

It follows that $K^2 = \gamma^*(V^*) = \langle u_1^* \wedge u_2^* + u_3^* \wedge u_4^*,\ u_1^* \wedge u_4^* + u_2^* \wedge u_5^* + u_3^* \wedge u_6^*,\ u_3^* \wedge u_5^* + u_4^* \wedge u_6^* \rangle$.

Step 2. We will show that $S^2 = S^2_{\text{dec}}$.

Note that $S^2 = (K^2)^\perp$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S_2 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\wedge^2 U^*) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^2 = 12$. We will find a basis of S^2.

For the convenience of notation, we will write $(1,2)$ for $u_1 \wedge u_2$; thus $(1,2) - (3,4)$ denotes $u_1 \wedge u_2 - u_3 \wedge u_4$.

Since the three basis elements of K^2 have been found, it is not difficult to verify the following elements belong to $S^2 = (K^2)^\perp$:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1,2)-(3,4),\ (1,3),\ (1,4)-(2,5),\ (1,5),\ (1,6),\ (2,3),\ (2,4),\\ &(2,5)-(3,6),\ (2,6),\ (3,5)-(4,6),\ (4,5),\ (5,6).\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

They are 12 linearly independent elements in S^2. Hence they are the basis elements of S^2.

Among the 12 vectors in Formula (2), except for $(1,2) - (3,4)$, $(1,4) - (2,5)$, $(2,5) - (3,6)$ and $(3,5) - (4,6)$, the remaining vectors (e.g. $(1,3)$, $(1,5)$, etc.) obviously belong to S^2_{dec}. We will show that the "exceptional" four vectors also belong to S^2_{dec}.

Note that $(u_1 + u_4) \wedge (u_2 + u_3) = [(1,2) - (3,4)] + (1,3) - (2,4) \in S^2$. Thus $(u_1 + u_4) \wedge (u_2 + u_3) \in S^2_{\text{dec}}$. It follows that $(1,2) - (3,4) \in S^2_{\text{dec}}$.

Similarly, use the formula $(u_1+u_2)\wedge(u_4-u_5) = [(1,4)-(2,5)]-(1,5)+(2,4)$. We find $(1,4)-(2,5) \in S^2_{\text{dec}}$. Use the formula $(u_2+u_6)\wedge(u_3+u_5) = [(2,5)-(3,6)]+(2,3)-(5,6)$. We find that $(2,5) - (3,6) \in S^2_{\text{dec}}$.

Finally, $(u_2 + u_3 + u_4) \wedge (u_5 - u_6) = [(3,5) - (4,6)] + [(2,5) - (3,6)] - (2,6) + (4,5)$. Since we have shown that $(2,5) - (3,6) \in S^2_{\text{dec}}$, it follows that $(3,5) - (4,6) \in S^2_{\text{dec}}$ also. Done.

Step 3. We will show that $S^3_{\text{dec}} \subsetneq S^3$. In fact, we will show that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3/S^3_{\text{dec}} = 1$.

By Step 1, $\gamma^*(V^*) = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$ where $f_1 = u_1^* \wedge u_2^* + u_3^* \wedge u_4^*$, $f_2 = u_1^* \wedge u_4^* + u_2^* \wedge u_5^* + u_3^* \wedge u_6^*$, $f_3 = u_3^* \wedge u_5^* + u_4^* \wedge u_6^*\rangle$. Thus $K^3 = \gamma^*(V^*) \wedge U^* = \langle f_i \wedge u_j^* : 1 \le i \le 3, 1 \le j \le 6 \rangle$.

We will write $[i,j,k]$ for $u_i^* \wedge u_j^* \wedge u_k^*$. Write down explicitly the eighteen generators $f_i \wedge u_j^*$ $(1 \le i \le 3,\ 1 \le j \le 6)$ as elements in $A \cup B$ where A is the set consisting of the vectors

$$[1,2,3],\ [1,2,4],\ [1,3,4],\ [2,3,4],\ [3,4,5],\ [3,4,6],\ [3,5,6],\ [4,5,6],$$

and B is the set consisting of the vectors

$$\begin{aligned}&[1,2,5]+[3,4,5],\ [1,2,6]+[3,4,6],\ [1,3,5]+[1,4,6],\\&[1,2,5]+[1,3,6],\ -[1,2,4]+[2,3,6],\ -[1,3,4]-[2,3,5],\\&[2,3,5]+[2,4,6],\ [2,4,5]+[3,4,6],\ [1,4,5]-[3,5,6],\ [1,4,6]+[2,5,6].\end{aligned}$$

Since $[3,4,6]\in A$, the generator $[1,2,6]+[3,4,6]$ in B may be replaced by $[1,2,6]$. Simplify the vectors of B by this way. We find that K^3 is generated by vectors in the following set

$$(\{[i,j,k]:1\le i<j<k\le 6\}\backslash C)\cup D \tag{3}$$

where $C=\{[1,3,5],[1,4,6],[1,5,6],[2,5,6]\}$ and $D=\{[1,3,5]+[1,4,6],[1,4,6]+[2,5,6]\}$.

The 18 vectors in Formula (3) are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^3 = 18$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3=\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\wedge^3 U^*)-\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^3=2$.

It is clear that $w_1, w_2\in (K^3)^\perp$ where $w_1=u_1\wedge u_5\wedge u_6$ and $w_2=u_1\wedge u_3\wedge u_5-u_1\wedge u_4\wedge u_6+u_2\wedge u_5\wedge u_6$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3=2$, it follows that $S^3=\langle w_1,w_2\rangle$.

Step 4. We will show that $S^3_{\text{dec}}=\langle w_1\rangle$, which will finish the proof that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3/S^3_{\text{dec}}=1$.

Recall that $S^3_{\text{dec}}=\langle u'\wedge u\in S^3 : u'\in\wedge^2 U, u\in U\rangle$. Elements in S^3 of the form $u'\wedge u$ where $u'\in\wedge^2U$ and $u\in U$ will be called eligible elements of S^3_{dec}. We will show that, up to a scalar multiple, w_1 is the only one eligible element of S^3_{dec}. This will finish the proof that $S^3_{\text{dec}}=\langle w_1\rangle$.

Suppose that $w\in S^3_{\text{dec}}$ is a non-zero eligible element. Then $w\in S^3=\langle w_1,w_2\rangle$ and $w=u'\wedge u$ for some $u'\in\wedge^2U$ and $u\in U$. Write $w=a_1\cdot w_1+a_2\cdot w_2$ for some $a_1,a_2\in\mathbb{F}_p$. We will show that $a_2=0$.

Since $w=u'\wedge u$ for some $u'\in\wedge^2U$ and $u\in U$, apply the following Lemma 2.5. It is necessary that $w\wedge u_0=0$ for some non-zero vector $u_0\in U$. Write $u_0=\sum_{1\le j\le 6} b_j\cdot u_j$ where $b_j\in\mathbb{F}_p$. Expand the relation $(\sum_{1\le i\le 2} a_i\cdot w_i)\wedge(\sum_{1\le j\le 6} b_j\cdot u_j)=0$. A non-trivial solution for $(a_1,a_2,b_1,\ldots,b_6)$ is of the following form

$$(0,0,b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_6),\ (a_1,a_2,0,0,0,0,0,0),\ (a_1,0,b_1,0,0,0,b_5,b_6).$$

If we require that $a_1w_1+a_2w_2\neq 0$ and $\sum_{1\le j\le 6} b_j\cdot u_j\neq 0$, it is necessary that $a_2=0$ as we expected before. ■

Lemma 2.5 ([Pe1, page 265]) *Let d be a positive integer and U be a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$ such that $d\le\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} U$. Suppose that $w\in\wedge^d U$ is a non-zero vector. Then $w=u'\wedge u$ for some $u'\in\wedge^{d-1}U$ and $u\in U$ if and only if there is a non-zero vector u_0 such that $w\wedge u_0=0$.*

Proof. ⇒ If $w=u'\wedge u$, then $u\neq 0$. Thus $w\wedge u=0$.

$\Leftarrow$ Suppose u_0 is a non-zero vector and $w \wedge u_0 = 0$. Let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ be a basis of U with $u_1 = u_0$. Write $w = \sum_\lambda a_\lambda u_{\lambda_1} \wedge u_{\lambda_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{\lambda_d}$ where λ runs over the ordered d-subsets $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ with $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_d$ and $a_\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

If $w \wedge u_1 = 0$, then $a_\lambda = 0$ if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ with $\lambda_1 \geq 2$. Hence we may write $w = u' \wedge u_1$ for some $u' \in \wedge^{d-1} U$. ■

Theorem 2.6 *Let p be an odd prime number, $t \in \mathbb{F}_p \backslash \{0\}$. Let G be the p-group of exponent p defined by $G = \langle v_i, u_j : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 6 \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions*

(1) $Z(G) = [G,G] = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$, and

(2) $[u_1, u_2] = [u_4, u_5]^{-1} = v_1$, $[u_2, u_3] = [u_5, u_6]^{-1} = [u_1, u_4] = v_2$, $[u_3, u_6] = [u_2, u_4]^{-1} = v_3$, $[u_1, u_5] = v_3^t$, and the other unlisted commutators are equal to the identity element of G.

Then $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$. Moreover, $K^3_{\max}/K^3 \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $t \in \mathbb{F}_p \backslash \mathbb{F}_p^2$. If $K^3_{\max}/K^3 \neq \{0\}$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^3_{\max}/K^3 = 2$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4.

Step 1. Let $\gamma : \wedge^2 U \to V$ and $\gamma^* : V^* \to \wedge^2 U^*$ be the maps. Then $K^2 = \gamma^2(V^*) = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$ where $f_1 = u_1^* \wedge u_2^* - u_4^* \wedge u_5^*$, $f_2 = u_2^* \wedge u_3^* - u_5^* \wedge u_6^* + u_1^* \wedge u_4^*$, $f_3 = u_3^* \wedge u_6^* - t u_1^* \wedge u_5^* - u_2^* \wedge u_4^*$.

We adopt the abbreviation $(i,j) = u_i \wedge u_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$.

Then $S^2 = (K^2)^\perp$ is generated by the 12 basis elements

$$(1,3),\ (1,6),\ (2,5),\ (2,6),\ (3,4),\ (3,5),\ (4,6)$$

and

$$(1,2)+(4,5),\ (1,4)+(5,6),\ (1,5)+t(3,6),\ (2,3)+(5,6),\ (2,4)+(3,6).$$

Use the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(u_1 + t u_3) \wedge (u_5 + u_6) &= [(1,5) + t(3,6)] + (1,6) + t(3,5), \\
(u_2 + u_5) \wedge (u_3 + u_6) &= [(2,3) + (5,6)] + (2,6) - (3,5), \\
(u_2 + u_3) \wedge (u_4 + u_6) &= [(2,4) + (3,6)] + (2,6) + (3,4), \\
(u_1 - u_6) \wedge (t u_3 + u_4 + u_5) &= [(1,4) + (5,6)] + [(1,5) + t(3,6)] + t(1,3) + (4,6), \\
(u_1 + u_5) \wedge (u_2 - u_4 - u_6) &= [(1,2) + (4,5)] - [(1,4) + (5,6)] - (1,6) - (2,5).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $S^2 = S^2_{\mathrm{dec}}$ and thus $\mathrm{Br}_{nr}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$.

Step 2. We will show that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3 = 2$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3_{\mathrm{dec}} = 0$ if $t \in \mathbb{F}_p \backslash \mathbb{F}_p^2$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3_{\mathrm{dec}} = 2$ if $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$.

We will find a basis of $K^3 = \gamma^*(V^*) \wedge U^*$. Use the abbreviation $[i,j,k] = u_i^* \wedge u_j^* \wedge u_k^*$. Write down explicitly the 18 generators $f_i \wedge u_j^*$ ($1 \leq i \leq 3$, $1 \leq j \leq 6$) where f_1, f_2, f_3

are defined in Step 1. They are the following vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
&[1,4,5],\ [2,4,5],\ [1,2,4],\ [1,2,5],\\
&[1,2,3]-[3,4,5],\ [1,2,6]-[4,5,6],\ [1,2,3]-[1,5,6],\\
&[1,2,4]+[2,5,6],\ [1,3,4]+[3,5,6],\ [2,3,4]-[4,5,6],\\
&[1,4,5]+[2,3,5],\ [1,4,6]+[2,3,6],\ [1,2,4]-[1,3,6],\\
&t[1,2,5]+[2,3,6],\ t[1,3,5]+[2,3,4],\ t[1,4,5]-[3,4,6],\\
&[2,4,5]+[3,5,6],\ t[1,5,6]+[2,4,6].
\end{aligned}$$

Simplify the above vectors as Step 3 in the proof of Theorem 2.4. We get the following vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
&[1,2,4],\ [1,2,5],\ [1,3,4],\ [1,3,6],\ [1,4,5],\ [1,4,6],\\
&[2,3,5],\ [2,3,6],\ [2,4,5],\ [2,5,6],\ [3,4,6],\ [3,5,6],\\
&[1,2,3]-[3,4,5],\ [1,2,3]-[1,5,6],\ [1,2,6]-[4,5,6],\\
&[2,3,4]-[4,5,6],\ t[1,3,5]+[2,3,4],\ t[1,5,6]+[2,4,6].
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It is not difficult to verify that vectors in Formula (4) are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^3 = 18$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3 = 2$.

Define $w_1, w_2 \in \wedge^3 U$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 &= u_1\wedge u_2\wedge u_3 + u_1\wedge u_5\wedge u_6 - tu_2\wedge u_4\wedge u_6 + u_3\wedge u_4\wedge u_5,\\
w_2 &= tu_1\wedge u_2\wedge u_6 + tu_2\wedge u_3\wedge u_4 + tu_4\wedge u_5\wedge u_6 - u_1\wedge u_3\wedge u_5.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $w_1, w_2 \in (K^3)^\perp = S^3$. It follows that $S^3 = \langle w_1, w_2\rangle$.

Step 3. We will calculate S^3_{dec}.

As in Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 2.4, we call an element $w \in S^3_{\text{dec}}$ an eligible element if $w \in S^3$ and $w = u' \wedge u$ for some $u' \in \wedge^2 U$ and $u \in U$.

If a non-zero vector $w \in S^3_{\text{dec}}$ is eligible, write $w = a_1w_1 + a_2w_2$ where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Apply Lemma 2.5, there is a non-zero vector $u_0 \in U$ such that $w \wedge u_0 = 0$. Write $u_0 = \sum_{1\le j\le 6} b_j \cdot u_j$ where $b_j \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Find the non-trivial solutions $(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_4)$ satisfying $(\sum_{1\le i\le 2} a_i w_i) \wedge (\sum_{1\le j\le 6} b_j u_j) = 0$.

If $t \in \mathbb{F}_p\backslash\mathbb{F}_p^2$, the only non-trivial solutions of $(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6)$ are $(a_1, a_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6)$. Thus no non-zero eligible elements exist at all. Hence $S^3_{\text{dec}} = \{0\}$.

If $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$, write $t = 1/c^2$ where $c \in \mathbb{F}_p\backslash\{0\}$. The non-trivial solutions of $(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6)$ are $(a_1, a_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6)$ and

$$(a, \varepsilon ac, \varepsilon b_1 c, b_2, \varepsilon b_3 c, b_1, \varepsilon b_2 c, b_3)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{F}_p\backslash\{0\}$, $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, (b_1, b_2, b_3) is a non-zero vector in $\mathbb{F}_p^3$. In conclusion, there are essentially two eligible elements $w_1 + cw_2$ and $w_1 - cw_2$. Thus $S^3_{\text{dec}} = \langle w_1 + cw_2, w_1 - cw_2\rangle = \langle w_1, w_2\rangle = S^3$. ■

The degenerate case $t = 0$ can be proved similarly. We record it as the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7 *Let p be an odd prime number. Let G be the p-group of exponent p defined by $G = \langle v_i, u_j : 1 \le i \le 3, 1 \le j \le 6\rangle$ satisfying the following conditions*

(1) $Z(G) = [G, G] = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3\rangle$, and

(2) $[u_1, u_2] = [u_4, u_5]^{-1} = v_1$, $[u_2, u_3] = [u_5, u_6]^{-1} = [u_1, u_4] = v_2$, $[u_3, u_6] = [u_2, u_4]^{-1} = v_3$, and the other unlisted commutators are equal to the identity element of G.

Then $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$ *and* $K^3_{\max}/K^3 \neq \{0\}$. *In fact,* $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^3_{\max}/K^3 = 1$.

Proof. Note that $\gamma^*(V^*) = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3\rangle$ where $f_1 = u_1^* \wedge u_2^* - u_4^* \wedge u_5^*$, $f_2 = u_2^* \wedge u_3^* - u_5^* \wedge u_6^* + u_1^* \wedge u_4^*$, $f_3 = u_3^* \wedge u_6^* - u_2^* \wedge u_4^*$.

It can be shown that $S^2 = S^2_{\mathrm{dec}}$; a heuristic "proof" is by setting $t = 0$ in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 2.6.

For the proof that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3/S^3_{\mathrm{dec}} = 1$, it is not difficult to show that K^3 is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} &[1,2,4],\ [1,2,5],\ [1,2,6],\ [1,3,4],\ [1,3,6],\ [1,4,5],\ [1,4,6],\\ &[2,3,4],\ [2,3,5],\ [2,3,6],\ [2,4,5],\ [2,4,6],\ [2,5,6],\ [3,4,6],\\ &[3,5,6],\ [4,5,6],\ [1,2,3]-[3,4,5],\ [1,2,3]-[1,5,6]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S^3 = \langle w_1, w_2\rangle$ where $w_1 = u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 + u_1 \wedge u_5 \wedge u_6 + u_3 \wedge u_4 \wedge u_5$, $w_2 = u_1 \wedge u_3 \wedge u_5$. By the same method as above, we find that $S^3_{\mathrm{dec}} = \langle w_2\rangle$. ■

§3. Further remarks

For any prime number p, an extraspecial p-group G is a group G such that $Z(G) = \langle v\rangle \simeq C_p$ and $G/\langle v\rangle$ is an elementary abelian group of order p^{2n} where $n \ge 1$ [Go, pages 203–208]. Thus G may be presented as $0 \to V \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} U \to 0$ where $V = \langle v\rangle$ and U is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} U = 2n$. It can be shown that there are basis elements $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2n}$ of U such that, within G, $[u_{2i-1}, u_{2i}] = v$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and $[u_{j,l}] = 1$ if $l - j \ge 1$ and $(j, l) \neq (2i-1, 2i)$ for some i. From the above definition, the exponent of G is p or p^2.

For any prime number p, there are precisely two non-isomorphic non-abelian groups G with order p^3. Both of them are extraspecial p-groups. It is known that $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is rational over $\mathbb{C}$ for such groups G [CK]. Thus $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\} = H^d_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ for all $d \ge 3$ by [CTO, Proposition 1.2]. A direct computation for $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ may be found in Black's paper [Bl].

If p is an odd prime number and G is an extraspecial p-group of order p^{2n+1}, it is known that $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$ [KK]. Now we turn to the degree three unramified cohomology group.

Proposition 3.1 *Let p be an odd prime number, G be the extraspecial p-group of exponent p and of order p^{2n+1} where $n \geq 1$. We present G as $0 \to V \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} U \to 0$ as above where $V = \langle v \rangle$, $U = \langle u_1, \ldots, u_{2n} \rangle$, $[u_{2i-1}, u_{2i}] = v$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define $\gamma : \wedge^2 U \to V$ by Formula (1) in Section 2. Then $K^3_{\max}/K^3 = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $u_1^*, \ldots, u_{2n}^*$ be the dual basis of $u_1, \ldots, u_{2n}$. Then $\gamma^*(V^*) = \langle f \rangle$ where $f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_{2i-1}^* \wedge u_{2i}^*$.

When $n = 1$, it is easy to see that $K^3 = \{0\}$ and $S^3 = \wedge^3 U$. Thus $S^3_{dec} = S^3$. From now on, we assume that $n \geq 2$.

Step 1. We will determine $K^3 = \gamma^*(V^*) \wedge U^* = \langle f \wedge u_j^* : 1 \leq j \leq 2n \rangle$. We will show that $f \wedge u_1^*, f \wedge u_2^*, \ldots, f \wedge u_{2n}^*$ are linearly independent in $\wedge^3 U^*$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^3 = 2n$.

Note that $f \wedge u_j^* = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_{2i-1}^* \wedge u_{2i}^* \wedge u_j^*$. On the other hand, $u_{2l-1}^* \wedge u_{2l}^* \wedge u_j^* = 0$ if $j = 2l-1$ or $2l$. Hence $f \wedge u_1^*$ has a term with non-vanishing coefficient, e.g. $u_3^* \wedge u_4^* \wedge u_1^*$, which doesn't appear in $f \wedge u_j^*$ if $j \geq 2$. Similar facts are valid for other $f \wedge u_j^*$. Hence these $f \wedge u_j^*$ (where $1 \leq j \leq 2n$) are linearly independent.

Step 2. We will find linearly independent elements in S^3 whose total number is $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\wedge^3 U^*) - 2n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} S^3$. Hence they form a basis of S^3.

Note that, if $w := u_1 \wedge u_3 \wedge u_5$, $u_1 \wedge u_3 \wedge u_6$, $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_5$, $u_2 \wedge u_3 \wedge u_6$, etc., then $\langle\langle w, f \wedge u_j^* \rangle\rangle = 0$ in the pairing defined in Definition 2.1, because $\langle\langle u_1 \wedge u_3 \wedge u_5, u_{2i-1}^* \wedge u_{2i}^* \wedge u_j^* \rangle\rangle = 0$ where $u_{2i-1}^* \wedge u_{2i}^* \wedge u_j^*$ is a standard term of $f \wedge u_j^*$. Thus $w \in S^3$.

In the general case, let $\ulcorner x \urcorner$ be the roof of a real number x: If $n - 1 < x \leq n$ for some integer n, then $\ulcorner x \urcorner = n$. Define

$$A = \left\{ u_i \wedge u_j \wedge u_k : 1 \leq \ulcorner (\tfrac{i}{2}) \urcorner < \ulcorner (\tfrac{j}{2}) \urcorner < \ulcorner (\tfrac{k}{2}) \urcorner \leq n \right\}.$$

Clearly $A \subset S^3$ and $|A| = 2^3 \cdot \binom{n}{3}$.

Step 3. We turn to other kinds of vectors of S^3.

Suppose that $w := u_1 \wedge (u_3 \wedge u_4 - u_5 \wedge u_6)$, $u_2 \wedge (u_3 \wedge u_4 - u_5 \wedge u_6)$, $u_3 \wedge (u_1 \wedge u_2 - u_5 \wedge u_6)$, etc., then $\langle\langle u_1 \wedge (u_3 \wedge u_4 - u_5 \wedge u_6),\ f \wedge u_j^* \rangle\rangle = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2n$. Thus $w \in S^3$. In the general case, define

$$B = \{ u_i \wedge (u_{2j-1} \wedge u_{2j} - u_{2k-1} \wedge u_{2k}) : \ulcorner (\tfrac{i}{2}) \urcorner, j, k \text{ are distinct integers} \}.$$

Then $B \subset S^3$.

Let W be the vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$ generated by elements of B. It is not difficult to show that W is also generated by elements of $B_1 \cup B_2$ where B_1 and B_2 are defined by

$$B_1 = \left\{ u_{2i-1} \wedge \left(\sum_{j \neq i} a_j u_{2j-1} \wedge u_{2j} \right) : \sum_{j \neq i} a_j = 0 \right\},$$

$$B_2 = \left\{ u_{2i} \wedge \left(\sum_{j \neq i} b_j u_{2j-1} \wedge u_{2j} \right) : \sum_{j \neq i} b_j = 0 \right\}.$$

Elements in $B_1 \cup B_2$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $|B_1 \cup B_2| = 2n(n-2)$.

It is trivial to check that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\wedge^3 U^*) - 2n = 2^3 \cdot \binom{n}{3} + 2n(n-2)$. We find that elements in $A \cup B_1 \cup B_2$ form a basis of S^3.

Obviously, elements in $A \cup B_1 \cup B_2$ are of the form $u' \wedge u$ for some $u' \in \wedge^2 U$, $u \in U$. Thus they belong to S^3_{dec}. We conclude that $S^3 = S^3_{\text{dec}}$. ■

Remark. When p is an odd prime number and G is an extraspecial group of order p^{2n+1} with $n \geq 2$, we don't know whether $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-rational; nor do we know whether $K^3_{\max}/K^3 = H^3_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Similarly, we don't know the answers to the same questions when G is an extraspecial group of order 2^{2n+1} with $n \geq 3$. As to the situation when G is an extraspecial group of order 2^5, it is known that $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-rational [CHKP]; thus $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = H^d_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ for $d \geq 3$.

In [Pe1, page 267], some algebraic variety W is found such that $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(W)) = \{0\}$ and $H^4_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(W), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq \{0\}$, but it is unknown whether $H^3_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(W), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial or not. The following two propositions provide examples with analogous phenomena.

Proposition 3.2 *Let p be an odd prime number. Let G be the p-group of exponent p defined by $G = \langle v_i, u_j : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 4 \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions*

(1) $Z(G) = [G,G] = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$, and

(2) $[u_1, u_2] = v_1$, $[u_1, u_3] = [u_2, u_4] = v_2$, $[u_1, u_4] = v_3$, and the other unlisted commutators are equal to the identity element of G.

Then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^2_{\max}/K^2 = 1$, $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq \{0\}$, $K^3 = K^3_{\max}$, but we don't know whether $H^3_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(W), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial or not.

Proof. Adopt the abbreviation $(2,3) = u_2 \wedge u_3$, etc as before. It is easy to verify that $S^2 = \langle w_1, w_2, w_3 \rangle$ where $w_1 = (2,3), w_2 = (3,4), w_3 = (1,3) - (2,4)$.

Now we compute S^2_{dec}. We will determine the eligible elements in S^2_{dec}. Apply Lemma 2.5 and find the non-trivial solutions of $(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} a_i \cdot w_i) \wedge (\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 4} b_j \cdot u_j) = 0$. It is not difficult to find that $S^2_{\text{dec}} = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$. By Theorem 2.3, $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq \{0\}$.

On the other hand, it is easy to show that $K^3 = \wedge^3 U^*$. Hence $S^3 = \{0\}$. It follows that $S^3_{\text{dec}} = \{0\}$ also. ■

Proposition 3.3 *Let p be an odd prime number, $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that the polynomial $X^2 + aX + b \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ is irreducible. Let G be the p-group of exponent p defined by $G = \langle v_i, u_j : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 4 \rangle$ satisfying the following conditions*

(1) $Z(G) = [G,G] = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$, and

(2) $[u_1, u_2] = v_1$, $[u_1, u_3] = [u_2, u_4] = v_2$, $[u_2, u_3] = v_3$, $[u_1, u_4] = v_3^{-b}$, $[u_2, u_4] = v_3^{-a}$, and the other unlisted commutators are equal to the identity element of G.

Then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^2_{\max}/K^2 = 2$, $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq \{0\}$, $K^3 = K^3_{\max}$, but we don't know whether $H^3_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(W), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial or not.

Proof. The proof is similar to the above Proposition 3.2. In the present situation, $S^2 = \langle w_1, w_2, w_3 \rangle$ where $w_1 = (3,4), w_2 = (1,3) - (2,4) - a(2,3), w_3 = (1,4) + b(2,3)$, and $S^2_{\text{dec}} = \langle w_1 \rangle$. Thus $\text{Br}_{\text{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq \{0\}$. As in Proposition 3.2, we find that $K^3 = \wedge^3 U^*$. Done. ■

Now we are going to explain the reason how the p-groups of Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7 are found.

Suppose that the group G is of the form $0 \to V \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} U \to 0$ as in Section 2 and $K^2 = \gamma^*(V^*)$ is a subspace of $\wedge^2 U^*$.

Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} U = 6$. We will find a suitable subspace of $\wedge^2 U^*$ as K^2 so that $S^3_{\text{dec}} \neq S^3$.

For any $w \in \wedge^3 U$, define $X_w := \{x \in \wedge^2 U^* : \langle\langle w, x \wedge y\rangle\rangle = 0 \ for\ any\ y \in U^*\}$. It follows that $w \in S^3$ whenever K^2 is a subspace of X_w.

We will choose w such that it is highly probable that $w \notin S^3_{\text{dec}}$ if K^2 is chosen judiciously. For this purpose we choose $w \in \wedge^3 U \setminus ((\wedge^2 U) \wedge U)$. We will focus on three kinds of such vectors : $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 + u_3 \wedge u_4 \wedge u_5 + u_5 \wedge u_6 \wedge u_1$, $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 + u_3 \wedge u_4 \wedge u_5 + u_5 \wedge u_6 \wedge u_1 - t u_2 \wedge u_4 \wedge u_6$ (where $t \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$), and $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 + u_4 \wedge u_5 \wedge u_6$. Remember that $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_6$ is a basis of U.

Case 1. $w = u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 + u_3 \wedge u_4 \wedge u_5 + u_5 \wedge u_6 \wedge u_1$.

We adopt the convention in Section 2 that $[1, 2]$ denotes $u_1^* \wedge u_2^*$, etc. It is not difficult to find that $X_w = \langle [1, 2] - [4, 5], [2, 3] - [5, 6], [1, 4], [2, 5], [3, 6], [4, 6], [3, 4] + [1, 6], [2, 4], [2, 6]\rangle$.

If we choose K^2 to be the subspace generated by the first six vectors of X_w, i.e. $K^2 = \langle [1, 2] - [4, 5], \ldots, [4, 6]\rangle$, then we get the same K^2 in Peyre's Theorem 3 [Pe2, page 223]. Thus we get the group of order p^{12} constructed by Peyre.

If we choose K^2 to be a 3-dimensional subspace of X_w generated by the elements $[1, 2] - [4, 5], [2, 3] - [5, 6] + [1, 4], [3, 6] - [2, 4]$, we get the group of order p^9 in Theorem 2.7.

If we choose $K^2 = X_w$, it is not difficult to verify that $S^3 = \langle w, w'\rangle$ where $w' = u_1 \wedge u_3 \wedge u_5$ and $S^3_{\text{dec}} = \langle w'\rangle$. Thus we find a group of order p^{15}, which is recorded in Theorem 3.4.

Case 2. $w = u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 + u_4 \wedge u_5 \wedge u_6$.

Then $X_w = \langle [1, 4], [1, 5], [1, 6], [2, 4], [2, 5], [2, 6], [3, 4], [3, 5], [3, 6]\rangle$. If we take $K^2 = X_w$, then $S_3 = \langle u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3, u_4 \wedge u_5 \wedge u_6\rangle$. It can be shown that $S^2_{\text{dec}} = S^2$ and $S^3_{\text{dec}} = S^3$. In conclusion, the process produces no group harmful.

Case 3. $w = u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 + u_3 \wedge u_4 \wedge u_5 + u_5 \wedge u_6 \wedge u_1 - t u_2 \wedge u_4 \wedge u_6$ where $t \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$.

This w is nothing but the w_1 in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 2.6. Thus we may find the group of order p^9 in Theorem 2.6 if we choose a suitable subspace of X_w.

Conceivably we may find other "counter-examples" if choose various subspaces of X_w for various vectors w.

The group in the following theorem is found in the above Case 1.

Theorem 3.4 *Let p be an odd prime number. Let G be the p-group of exponent p defined by $G = \langle v_i, u_j : 1 \leq i \leq 9, 1 \leq j \leq 6\rangle$ satisfying the following conditions*

(1) $Z(G) = [G, G] = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3\rangle$, *and*

(2) $[u_1, u_2] = [u_4, u_5]^{-1} = v_1$, $[u_2, u_3] = [u_5, u_6]^{-1} = v_2$, $[u_1, u_4] = v_3$, $[u_2, u_5] = v_4$, $[u_3, u_6] = v_5$, $[u_4, u_6] = v_6$, $[u_3, u_4] = [u_1, u_6]^{-1} = v_7$, $[u_2, u_4] = v_8$, $[u_2, u_6] = v_9$, *and the other unlisted commutators are equal to the identity element of* G*.*

Then $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{nr}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = \{0\}$ *and* $K^3_{\max}/K^3 \neq \{0\}$*.*

References

[Bl] R. Black, *Cohomological invariants of groups of order* p^3, arXiv:1502.05965.

[Bo] F. A. Bogomolov, *The Brauer group of quotient spaces by linear group actions*, Math. USSR Izv. 30 (1988), 455–485.

[CHKP] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M. Kang and Y. G. Prokhorov, *Noether's problem for groups of order 32*, J. Algebra 320 (2008), 3022–3035.

[CK] H. Chu and M. Kang, *Rationality of p-group actions*, J. Algebra 237 (2001), 673–690.

[CTO] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and M. Ojanguren, *Variétés unirationnelles non rationnelles: au-delà de l'exemple d'Artin et Mumford*, Invent. Math. 97 (1989), 141–158.

[CTS] J.-L. Colliot-Thél'ene and J.-J. Sansuc, *The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups* (*with special regards to the Brauer group*), in "Proc. International Colloquium on Algebraic Groups and Homogeneous Spaces, Mumbai, 2004", edited by V. Mehta, Narosa Publishing House, 2007.

[GMS] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J-P. Serre, *Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology*, University Lecture Series, 28. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[Go] D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.

[KK] M. Kang and B. E. Kunyavskii, *The Bogomolov multiplier of rigid finite groups*, Archiv der Math. 102 (2014), 209-218.

[MT] Y. I. Manin and M. A. Tsfasman, *Rational varieties: algebra, geometry and arithmetric*, Russian Math. Survey 41 (1986), 51–116.

[Pe1] E. Peyre, *Unramified cohomology and rationality problem*, Math. Ann. 296 (1993), 247–268.

[Pe2] E. Peyre, *Unramified cohomology of degree 3 and Noether's problem*, Invent. Math. 171 (2008), 191–225.

[Sa1] D. J. Saltman, *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*, Adv. Math. 43 (1982), 250–283.

[Sa2] D. J. Saltman, *Noether's problem over an algebraically closed field*, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 71–84.

[Sa3] D. J. Saltman, *Brauer groups of invariant fields, geometrically negligible classes, anequivariant Chow groups, and unramified* H^4, in "K-theory and algebraic geometry : connections with quadratic forms and division algebras", edited by B. Jacob and A. Rosenberg, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. vol. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1995,

[Sw] R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, in "Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr", edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, 1983, Berlin.